Fig. 1

DRD2 TaqI polymorphism frequencies

	Alcoholics	Controls	ORs with 95% CIs
A1/A1 + A1/A2	48	53	1.10
	(45.7%)	(43.4%)	[.649 - 1.853]
A2/A2	57	69	1
	(54.3%)	(56.6%)	
	n = 105	n = 122	
		$\chi^2 = .118, df = 1,$	
		p = .731	
AI	57	59	1.17
	(27.1%)	(24.2%)	[ .766 - 1.782]
A2	153	185	1
	(72.9%)	(75.8%)	
	n = 210	n = 244	
		$\chi^2 = .520, df = 1,$	
		p = .417	

Fig. 2

OPRVII +118A/G polymorphism frequencies

ų	Alcoholics	Controls	ORs with 95% CIs
A/A	87	85	2.10
	(82.9%)	(69.7%)	[1.112 - 3.980]
A/G + G/G	18	37	1
	(17.1%)	(30.3%)	
	n = 105	n = 122	
		$\chi^2 = 5.45, df = 1,$	
		p = .020	
A	191	204	1.97
	(91.0%)	(83.6%)	[1.103 - 3.522]
G	` 1 <del>9</del>	40	1
	(9.0%)	(16.4%)	
	n = 210	n = 244	
	•	$\chi^2 = 5.52, df = 1,$	
		p = .019	

Fig. 3

						Frequencies (%)			
	N	ĀA	GA	GG	A`		AA	Α	– G
	,		<del></del>						
179	152	27	0	331	27	84.9	92.5	7.5	
17	13	4	0	30	4	76.5	88.2	11.8	
91	74	17	0	165	17	81.3	90.7	9.3	
	43	40	3	0	83	3	93.0	96.5	3.5
297	220	73	4	513	81	74.1	86.4	13.6	
	63	42	20	1	104	22	66.7	82.5	17.5
	327	261	62	4	584	70	79.8	89.3	10.7
	20	19	1	0	39	1	95.0	97.5	2.5
	100				173	27		86.5	13.5
	207				358	56		86.5	13.5
	17 91	, 179 152 17 13 91 74 43 297 220 63 327 20 100	, 179 152 27 17 13 4 91 74 17 43 40 297 220 73 63 42 327 261 20 19	. 179 152 27 0 17 13 4 0 91 74 17 0 43 40 3  297 220 73 4 63 42 20  327 261 62 20 19 1 100	. 179 152 27 0 331 17 13 4 0 30 91 74 17 0 165 43 40 3 0  297 220 73 4 513 63 42 20 1  327 261 62 4 20 19 1 0 100			., 179	.  179

	By gend	By genotype (AA vs. GG/GA)							
Analysis Groups	χ²	р	OR	95% (	Cls	Power	χ²	p Po	wer
1 versus 5	7.69	.006	1,97	1.21	3.20	.98	8.25	.004	.99
2 versus 5	0.05	.826	1.14	0.36	3.60	.34	0.10	.756	.47
3 versus 5	1.99	.158	1.52	0.85	2.74	.90	2.33	.127	.98
4 versus 5	7.50	.006	4.67	1.40	15.52	.66	3.05	.081	.83
1 versus 6	9.76	.002	2.82	1.45	5.47	.65	10.08	.002	.90

2 versus 6	0.60	.440	1.63	0.47	5.60	.24	0.64	.420	.41	
3 versus 6	4.30	.038	2.18	1.04	4.58	.56	4.44	.035	.82	
4 versus 6	10.14	.001	6.67	1.85	24.10	.41	9.59	.002	.67	
2 versus 3	0.22	.640	1.34	0.39	4.62	.22	0.19	.661	.43	
2 versus 4	3.29	.072	4.10	0.81	20.78	.20	3.04	.081	.37	
3 versus 4	3.51	.076	3.06	0.85	11.09	.41	2.90	.089	.72	
Sander <sup>a</sup> vs 6	12.17	.001	2.83	1.55	5.18	.21	11.77	.001	.89	
Bergen⁵ vs 6	23.12	.001	38.00	4.76	303.57	.27	5.69	.017	.39	
Gelernter vs 6						.57	0.05	.812	.77	
Gelernterd vs 6	-					.67	1.21	.271	.86	

Note: df=1 for all analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Sander et al. (1998) alcoholics without other drug use, but not screened for nicotine use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Bergen et al. (1997) alcoholics, 75% of whom also abused other drugs.

Gelernter et al. (1999) cases with a primary diagnosis of alcoholism but other drug use not excluded; genotype frequencies not provided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Gelernter et al. (1999) cases with a primary diagnosis of drug dependence but alcohol abuse or dependence not excluded; genotype frequencies not provided.